UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA AT CHARLESTON

IN RE: BOSTON SCIENTIFIC CORP., PELVIC REPAIR SYSTEM

PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

MDL No. 2326

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO CIVIL ACTION NO.:

Pierson, et al. v. Boston Scientific Corp. 2:13-cv-32376

PRETRIAL ORDER # 80 (Initial Order Regarding Severance of Actions)

The complaint filed in the above-referenced action joined multiple plaintiffs whose only apparent connection with one another is that they allege they were implanted with a Boston Scientific Corporation pelvic mesh product. I FIND that multiple plaintiff complaints, except those complaints in which only one individual alleges using a Boston Scientific Corporation product but another derivative plaintiff is named as well (e.g. cases in which a spouse is asserting only a loss of consortium claim), should be severed. Accordingly, to resolve any misjoinder concerns and to facilitate the efficient administration of these actions, it is ORDERED as follows:

1. Except for the first named plaintiff (and derivative plaintiff(s) claiming under her, if any) listed in Exhibit A, attached hereto, **and** any plaintiff(s) who objects to severance (see paragraph 7), the remaining plaintiffs in the aforementioned case shall be dismissed without prejudice on or around **March 12, 2014.**

- 2. Within thirty (30) days after the entry of this Pretrial Order ("PTO"), each individual plaintiff dismissed pursuant to paragraph 1 of this PTO who did not object to severance and any derivative plaintiff claiming under her, may file an individual severed complaint to continue the prosecution of the claims they alleged in the dismissed civil action. Each such plaintiff may choose to file in this court, a Short Form Complaint in the form available on the court's website. Each plaintiff is reminded of her obligation to comply with Rule 4 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
- 3. Any Short Form Complaint filed by a severed plaintiff must be accompanied by the appropriate filing fee, and assigned a separate civil action number determined by the Clerk.
- 4. Any severed plaintiff who wishes to name as defendants parties who are not listed in the current Master Long Form Complaint as defendants must file an individual complaint in the District Court where such action properly can be filed and await transfer by the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation (the "Panel").
- 5. Any re-filed action (whether filed in another district or in the Southern District of West Virginia) shall refer to the dismissed civil action and its original filing date. This information must be added to the Short Form Complaint immediately under the Civil Action Number or to the style of the long form complaint immediately under the Civil Action Number assigned by the Clerk in the district where the action is filed. (*See* Exhibit B, attached hereto.)
- 6. Any individual Complaint filed within the time provided by this PTO will be deemed to have been filed on and relate back to the original filing date of the applicable multi-plaintiff civil action. Any statute of limitations defense that existed as of the filing dates of the original multi-plaintiff complaints shall be preserved.

7. Any plaintiff(s) who objects to this PTO must do so within ten (10) days of entry of

this PTO or by March 7, 2014. Such plaintiff should file her objections in the Southern District

of West Virginia in the original multi-plaintiff case, with any objection not to exceed two (2)

pages. Any plaintiff(s) who objects shall not be severed until such objection is resolved by the

court.

8. The court **DIRECTS** the Clerk to place a copy of this PTO in each re-filed action

filed pursuant to this PTO that is directly filed in the Southern District and in each re-filed

action filed pursuant to this PTO that is transferred by the Panel on the date the case is opened

in the Southern District.

The court **DIRECTS** the Clerk to file a copy of this order in 2:12-md-02326 and in

member case 2:13-cv-32376 and it shall apply to each member related case previously

transferred to, removed to, or filed in this district, which includes counsel in all member cases

up to and including civil action number 2:14-cv-11021. In cases subsequently filed in this

district, a copy of the most recent pretrial order will be provided by the Clerk to counsel

appearing in each new action at the time of filing of the complaint. In cases subsequently

removed or transferred to this court, a copy of the most recent pretrial order will be provided by

the clerk to counsel appearing in each new action upon removal or transfer. It shall be the

responsibility of the parties to review and abide by all pretrial orders previously entered by the

court. The orders may be accessed through the CM/ECF system or the court's website at

www.wvsd.uscourts.gov.

ENTER: February 25, 2014

JOSEPH R. GOODWIN

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

3

MDL 2326 - EXHIBIT A

DI. 1. 4186	Originating	Originating	SDWV – MDL
Plaintiffs	District	Case No.	Member Case No.
	Missouri Eastern	4:13-cv-01509	2:13-cv-32376
Janice Pierson	Wildsoull Eastern	1.13 64 01309	2.13 6 7 323 7 0
Janet Plasse			
Karen Coleman			
Trysha Upton			
Stewart Upton			
Toni Gurney			
Howard Gurney			
Lisa Barnes			
Cynthia Coffey-Treadwell			
Michael Treadwell			
Isabel Feras			
Linda Cline Linda Anemone			
Herlinda Arizmendez			
Debra Boston			
Jeffrey Boston			
Kelly Browning			
Angie Gutierrez			
Beverly Enlow			
Patricia Henline			
Juanita Miller			
Alexa Schlimmer			
James Schlimmer			
Stacey Berry			
George Berry			
Wanda Bushong			
Teresa Chermak			
Ramona Clay Hilda Cross			
Judith Culter			
Michael Culter			
Mary Deeks			
Richard Deeks			
Betty Duerr			
Lori Dykstra			
Delores Efird			
Norma Eidem			
Walter Pratt			
Tamara Frank			
Evangeline Gatewood			
Alfonzo Gatewood			
Margaret Heffner			
Ward Heffner			

Plaintiffs	Originating District	Originating Case No.	SDWV – MDL Member Case No.
	District	Cuse 110.	Tylember Case 140.
	Missouri Eastern	4:13-cv-01509	2:13-cv-32376
Kelly Henry			
Mark Henry			
Alice Herrera			
Laura Johnson			
Lucinda Likins			
Debra Love			
Marie MacLeod			
Russelle Mazulo			
Kay Keylon			
Annette Gerrans-Naerbo			
Annette Mahannah			
Steve Mahannah			
Misty Burkett			
Cynthia Taylor			
Jamie Pierce			
James Pierce			
Jill Hjelmgren			
Stacia Peters			
Heidi Jones			
Joy Weiss			
Debra Rodriguez			
Tina King			
Carolyn Fitch			
Lingard Fitch			
Brooke Graves			
James Graves			
Charlotte Murphy			
Nancy Fitzgerald			
Bernadette Martin			
Scott Martin			
Katherine Abshier			
Patricia Corn			
Kathy Ellis			
Lori Hassan			
Ann Helms			
Maria Machuca			
Kathy McKeown			
Christine Moffitt			
Colleen Pruett			
Linda South			
Kathleen Sutphin			
Marsha Voigt-Zemo			
Michelle Wilson			
Mary Kathleen Collins			
Linda Culver			

Plaintiffs	Originating District	Originating Case No.	SDWV – MDL Member Case No.
	Missouri Eastern	4:13-cv-01509	2:13-cv-32376
Madeline DeJesus			
DeAnna Durocher			
Debbie Johnson			
Bernadette Lyons-Sales			
Brenda Moreno			
Janet O'Brien			
Tammy Jo Walls			
Michael Joe Coats			
Veronica Wright			

EXHIBIT B

I. For Directly Filed Cases:

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA

CHARLESTON DIVISION

IN RE: BOSTON SCIENTIFIC CORP., PELVIC REPAIR SYSTEM PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION
MDL 2326

Civil Action No.		
(Severed from Civil Action No	filed)

SHORT FORM COMPLAINT

II. For All Other Cases:

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA

JANE SMITH,	
Plaintiff,	
v.	Civil Action No (Severed from Civil Action No filed)
BOSTON SCIENTIFIC CORP., ET AL.,	
Defendants.	

COMPLAINT AND JURY DEMAND