IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA

CHARLESTON DIVISION

IN RE: BOSTON SCIENTIFIC CORP.,

PELVIC REPAIR SYSTEM

PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

MDL No. 2326

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO ALL CASES

PRETRIAL ORDER # 101

(**Revised** Short Form Complaint and Amended Short Form Complaint re: Addition of Neomedic Entities and Removal of Ethicon, LLC)

agreement of the parties. In addition, with the assignment of the Neomedic MDL by the Judicial

I recently entered a PTO in the Ethicon MDL dismissing Ethicon, LLC pursuant to the

Panel on Multidistrict Litigation, MDL 2511, four Neomedic entities have been added to the

Short Form and Amended Short Form Complaints. As a result, attached are a revised (1) Short

Form Complaint (Exhibit A); and a **revised** (2) Amended Short Form Complaint (Exhibit B) that

reflect the above revisions to the Short Form and Amended Short Form Complaints in this MDL.

It is **ORDERED** as follows:

(1) While PTO ##s 14, 25, 29, 48, and 59 remain in force and effect where applicable,

parties filing Short Form Complaints in new cases or Amended Short Form

Complaints in existing cases, where appropriate, must use the revised forms

attached to this PTO and located on the court's website beginning no later than

June 5, 2014.

The court **DIRECTS** the Clerk to file a copy of this order in 2:12-md-2326 and it shall apply to each member related case previously transferred to, removed to, or filed in this district, which includes counsel in all member cases up to and including civil action number 2:14-cv-17022. In cases subsequently filed in this district, a copy of the most recent pretrial order will be provided by the Clerk to counsel appearing in each new action at the time of filing of the complaint. In cases subsequently removed or transferred to this court, a copy of the most recent pretrial order will be provided by the Clerk to counsel appearing in each new action upon removal or transfer. It shall be the responsibility of the parties to review and abide by all pretrial orders previously entered by the court. The orders may be accessed through the CM/ECF system or the court's website at www.wvsd.uscourts.gov.

ENTER: May 29, 2014

JOSEPH R. GOODWIN

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA

CHARLESTON DIVISION

In Re: Boston Scientific Corp.
Pelvic Repair System Products Liability Litigation
MDL No. 2326

Civil	Action No.	
\ IVII	ACHOH NO.	

SHORT FORM COMPLAINT

Come now the Plaintiff(s) named below, and for their Complaint against the Defendants named below, incorporate The Master Complaint in MDL No. 2326 by reference. Plaintiff(s) further show the Court as follows:

1.	Female Plaintiff:
2.	Plaintiff Husband (if applicable):
3.	Other Plaintiff and capacity (i.e., administrator, executor, guardian, conservator):
4.	State of Residence:
5.	District Court and Division in which venue would be proper absent direct filing:
٥.	District Court and Division in which vehue would be proper absent direct fining.
6.	Defendants (Check Defendants against whom Complaint is made):
	A. Boston Scientific Corporation

	B. American Medical Systems, Inc. ("AMS")
	C. Johnson & Johnson
	D. Ethicon, Inc.
	E. C. R. Bard, Inc. ("Bard")
	F. Sofradim Production SAS ("Sofradim")
	G. Tissue Science Laboratories Limited ("TSL")
	H. Mentor Worldwide LLC
	I. Coloplast Corp.
	J. Cook Incorporated
	K. Cook Biotech, Inc.
	L. Cook Medical, Inc.
	M. Desarrollo e Investigación Médica Aragonesa, S.L. ("DIMA")
	N. Neomedic International, S.L.
	O. Neomedic Inc.
	P. Specialties Remeex International, S.L.
Basis o	f Jurisdiction:
	Diversity of Citizenship
	Other:
A. Para	graphs in Master Complaint upon which venue and jurisdiction lie:

7.

B. Otł	ner allegations of jurisdiction and venue:
Defen	dants' products implanted in Plaintiff (Check products implanted in Plaintiff):
	The Uphold Vaginal Support System;
	The Pinnacle Pelvic Floor Repair Kit;
	The Advantage Transvaginal Mid-Urethral Sling System;
	The Advantage Fit System;
	The Lynx Suprapubic Mid-Urethral Sling System;
	The Obtryx Transobturator Mid-Urethral Sling System;
	The Prefyx PPS System;
	The Solyx SIS System; and/or
	Other
Defer	ndants' Products about which Plaintiff is making a claim. (Check applicable acts):
	The Uphold Vaginal Support System;
	The Pinnacle Pelvic Floor Repair Kit;
	The Advantage Transvaginal Mid-Urethral Sling System;
	The Advantage Fit System;
	The Lynx Suprapubic Mid-Urethral Sling System;
	The Obtryx Transobturator Mid-Urethral Sling System;

	The Prefyx PPS System;	
	The Solyx SIS System; and/or	
	Other	
10. Date (of Implantation as to Each Product:	
11. Hospi	ital(s) where Plaintiff was implanted (Including City and State):	
12. Impla	anting Surgeon(s):	
13. Coun	ts in the Master Complaint brought by Plaintiff(s)	
	Count I – Negligence	
	Count II – Strict Liability – Design Defect	
	Count III – Strict Liability – Manufacturing Defect	
	Count IV – Strict Liability – Failure to Warn	
	Count V - Breach of Express Warranty	

		Count VI – Breach of Implied Warranty
		Count VII (by the Husband) – Loss of Consortium
		Count VIII – Discovery Rule, Tolling and Fraudulent Concealment
		Count IX – Punitive Damages
		Other Count If Plaintiff asserts additional claims, please state the factual and legal basis for these claims below:
		Other Count If Plaintiff asserts additional claims, please state the factual and legal basis for these claims below:
		Attorney(s) for Plaintiff
Addres	s, phon	ne number, email address and bar information:
	7 1	
		5

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA

CHARLESTON DIVISION

In Re: Boston Scientific Corp.
Pelvic Repair System Products Liability Litigation
MDL No. 2326

A		4 •	TA T	
(TVI	Ι Δ.	ction	NO	
		CUUII	110.	

AMENDED SHORT FORM COMPLAINT

Come now the Plaintiff(s) named below, and for their Complaint against the Defendants named below, incorporate The Master Complaint in MDL No. 2326 by reference. Plaintiff(s) further show the Court as follows:

1.	Female Plaintiff:
2.	Plaintiff Husband (if applicable):
3.	Other Plaintiff and capacity (i.e., administrator, executor, guardian, conservator):
4.	State of Residence:
5.	District Court and Division in which venue would be proper absent direct filing:
6.	Defendants (Check Defendants against whom Complaint is made):
	A. Boston Scientific Corporation

	B. American Medical Systems, Inc. ("AMS")
	C. Johnson & Johnson
	D. Ethicon, Inc.
	E. C. R. Bard, Inc. ("Bard")
	F. Sofradim Production SAS ("Sofradim")
	G. Tissue Science Laboratories Limited ("TSL")
	H. Mentor Worldwide LLC
	I. Coloplast Corp.
	J. Cook Incorporated
	K. Cook Biotech, Inc.
	L. Cook Medical, Inc.
	M. Desarrollo e Investigación Médica Aragonesa, S.L. ("DIMA")
	N. Neomedic International, S.L.
	O. Neomedic Inc.
	P. Specialties Remeex International, S.L.
Basis o	f Jurisdiction:
	Diversity of Citizenship
	Other:
A. Para	graphs in Master Complaint upon which venue and jurisdiction lie:

7.

B. Otł	ner allegations of jurisdiction and venue:
Defen	dants' products implanted in Plaintiff (Check products implanted in Plaintiff):
	The Uphold Vaginal Support System;
	The Pinnacle Pelvic Floor Repair Kit;
	The Advantage Transvaginal Mid-Urethral Sling System;
	The Advantage Fit System;
	The Lynx Suprapubic Mid-Urethral Sling System;
	The Obtryx Transobturator Mid-Urethral Sling System;
	The Prefyx PPS System;
	The Solyx SIS System; and/or
	Other
Defer	ndants' Products about which Plaintiff is making a claim. (Check applicable acts):
	The Uphold Vaginal Support System;
	The Pinnacle Pelvic Floor Repair Kit;
	The Advantage Transvaginal Mid-Urethral Sling System;
	The Advantage Fit System;
	The Lynx Suprapubic Mid-Urethral Sling System;
	The Obtryx Transobturator Mid-Urethral Sling System;

	The Prefyx PPS System;	
	The Solyx SIS System; and/or	
	Other	
10. Date (of Implantation as to Each Product:	
11. Hospi	ital(s) where Plaintiff was implanted (Including City and State):	
12. Impla	anting Surgeon(s):	
13. Coun	ts in the Master Complaint brought by Plaintiff(s)	
	Count I – Negligence	
	Count II – Strict Liability – Design Defect	
	Count III – Strict Liability – Manufacturing Defect	
	Count IV – Strict Liability – Failure to Warn	
	Count V - Breach of Express Warranty	

		Count VI – Breach of Implied Warranty
		Count VII (by the Husband) – Loss of Consortium
		Count VIII – Discovery Rule, Tolling and Fraudulent Concealment
		Count IX – Punitive Damages
		Other Count If Plaintiff asserts additional claims, please state the factual and legal basis for these claims below:
		Other Count If Plaintiff asserts additional claims, please state the factual and legal basis for these claims below:
		Attorney(s) for Plaintiff
Addres	s, phon	ne number, email address and bar information:
	7 1	
		5