IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA CHARLESTON DIVISION

IN RE: C.R. BARD, INC. PELVIC REPAIR SYSTEM

PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

MDL No. 2187

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO CIVIL ACTION

NOS. 2:12-cv-00252 and 2:12-cv-01027

PRE-TRIAL ORDER # 61
(Agreed Order Regarding Severance of Actions)

Some complaints in this MDL action join multiple plaintiffs whose only apparent

connection with one another is that they were implanted with a Bard mesh product intended to

treat certain conditions of the female pelvic organs. The parties have agreed that multiple

plaintiff complaints, except those complaints in which only one individual alleges using a Bard

product but another derivative plaintiff is named as well (e.g. cases in which a spouse is asserting

only a loss of consortium claim), should be severed. Accordingly, to resolve any misjoinder

concerns and to facilitate the efficient administration of these actions, it is ORDERED as

follows:

1. Except for the first named plaintiff (and derivative plaintiff(s) claiming under her, if any)

listed in each case in Exhibit A, attached hereto, and any plaintiff(s) who objects to

severance (see paragraph 7) the plaintiffs listed in each case in Exhibit A shall be dismissed

without prejudice on January 4, 2013.

2. Within thirty (30) days after the entry of this Pretrial Order ("PTO"), each individual plaintiff

dismissed pursuant to paragraph 1 of this PTO who did not object to severance and any

derivative plaintiff claiming under her, may file an individual severed complaint to continue

the prosecution of the claims they alleged in the dismissed civil action. Each such plaintiff

- may choose to file in this court, a Short Form Complaint in the form available on the court's website.
- 3. Any Short Form Complaint filed by a severed plaintiff must be accompanied by the appropriate filing fee, and assigned a separate civil action number determined by the Clerk.
- 4. Any severed plaintiff who wishes to name as defendants, parties who are not listed in the current Master Long Form Complaint as defendants must file an individual complaint in the District Court where such action properly can be filed and await transfer by the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation (the "Panel").
- 5. Any re-filed action (whether filed in another district or in the Southern District of West Virginia) shall refer to the dismissed civil action and its original filing date. This information must be added to the Short Form Complaint immediately under the Civil Action Number or to the style of the long form complaint immediately under the Civil Action Number assigned by the Clerk in the district where the action is filed. (*See* Exhibit B, attached hereto.)
- 6. Any individual Complaint filed within the time provided by this PTO will be deemed to have been filed on and relate back to the original filing date of the applicable multi-plaintiff civil action. Any statute of limitations defense that existed as of the filing dates of the original multi-plaintiff complaints shall be preserved.
- 7. Any plaintiff(s) in Exhibit A who objects to this PTO must do so within eleven (11) days of entry of this PTO or by **January 2, 2013**. Such plaintiff should file her objections in the Southern District of West Virginia in the original multi-plaintiff case, with any objection not to exceed two (2) pages. Any plaintiff(s) who objects shall not be severed until such objection is resolved by the court.

The court **DIRECTS** the Clerk to place a copy of this PTO in each re-filed action filed

pursuant to this PTO that is directly filed in the Southern District and in each re-filed action filed

pursuant to this PTO that is transferred by the Panel on the date the case is opened in the

Southern District.

The court **DIRECTS** the Clerk to file a copy of this order in 2:10-md-2187 and in

member case 2:12-cv-00252 and 2:12-cv-01027. In cases subsequently filed in this district, a

copy of the most recent pretrial order will be provided by the Clerk to counsel appearing in each

new action at the time of filing of the complaint. In cases subsequently removed or transferred to

this court, a copy of the most recent pretrial order will be provided by the clerk to counsel

appearing in each new action upon removal or transfer. It shall be the responsibility of the

parties to review and abide by all pretrial orders previously entered by the court. The orders may

be accessed through the CM/ECF system or the court's website at <a href="www.wvsd.uscourts.gov">www.wvsd.uscourts.gov</a>.

ENTER: December 12, 2012

3

## Agreed to by:

#### /s/ Henry G. Garrard, III

Henry G. Garrard, III Blasingame, Burch, Garrard & Ashley, P.C. P.O. Box 832 Athens, GA 30603 hgg@bbgbalaw.com

#### /s/ Richard North

Richard North
Nelson, Mullins, Riley & Scarborough, LLP
Atlantic Station
201 17<sup>th</sup> Street N.W., Suite 1700
Atlanta, GA 30363
richard.north@nelsonmullins.com

#### /s/ Deborah A. Moeller

Deborah A. Moeller Shook, Hardy & Bacon L.L.P. 2555 Grand Blvd. Kansas City, MO 64108 dmoeller@shb.com

## EXHIBIT "A"

MDL CASE NUMBER	CASE	ORIGINATING COURT	CASE NUMBER
2:12-cv-00252	Patricia Berggren, Denice Greiner, Shawn Klosterman and Barbara Leedle v. C. R. Bard, Inc., Covidien Inc., Covidien plc, Covidien International Finance, SA, Covidien Trevoux, SCS, Mareane, SA, Floreane Medical Implants SA and Sofradim Production SAS	Wisconsin Eastern	2:12-cv-00048
2:12-cv-01027	Willa Dean Allen and Linda Agnew v. C. R. Bard, Inc., Covidien Inc., Covidien plc, Covidien International Finance, SA, Covidien Trevoux, SCS, Mareane SA, Floreane Medical Implants SA and Sofradim Production SAS	Texas Northern	3:12-cv-00849

## Exhibit "B"

#### I. For Directly Filed Cases:

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA

#### **CHARLESTON DIVISION**

In Re: C. R. BARD, INC.
PELVIC REPAIR SYSTEM PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION
MDL No. 2187

Civ	il Action No		
(Severed from	Civil Action No	filed	)

**SHORT FORM COMPLAINT** 

## I. For All Other Cases:

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

PATRICIA BERGGREN,	
Plaintiff,	
v.	Civil Action No (Severed from SDWV Civil Action No filed)
C. R. BARD, INC., ET AL.,	
Defendants.	

COMPLAINT AND JURY DEMAND