

U.S. District Court
Southern District of West Virginia - Charleston

Civil Docket for Case Number ## 2:03-cv-00585

Mabry, et al v. Bristol, et al

Assigned to: Judge Joseph R. Goodwin

Referred to:

Date Filed: 6/24/2003

Filed Date	Doc. #	Docket Text
6/24/2003	-	Consolidated Member Case; Lead Case Number: 2:02md1477 In re Serzone Products Liability Litigation. (ras)
12/12/2003	1	ORDER Transferring case to the Southern District of West Virginia, with the original record from the Southern District of Mississippi. (ras)
12/12/2003	-	Consolidated Member Case; Lead Case Number: 2:02md1477, In re Serzone Products Liability Litigation. (ras)
9/27/2004	2	MOTION by Plaintiffs Maxie Case, Margie King, and Cynthia Ann Ste. Marie to Dismiss their claims against Defendant, w/proposed order attached. (acj)
5/2/2005	3	MOTION by Ronnie G. Penton, Caroline C. Donlon, Todd Brentley Ott to Withdraw as Attorneys for Athelene Mabry, Tommy Ray Pigott, with proposed order attached. (acj)
6/9/2005	4	ORDER granting [3] Motion to Withdraw as Attorneys; directing that Todd B. Ott, Ronnie G. Penton, Caroline Donlon, The Law Offices of Ronnie G. Penton and the Law Offices of Daniel E. Becnel, Jr. be removed as counsel of record for Pltfs Tommy Pigott and Athelene Mabry. Signed by Judge Joseph R. Goodwin on 6/9/2005. (cc: MDL Clerk; attys; involved pltfs) (acj)
9/9/2005	5	FINAL JUDGMENT ORDER: In accordance with the Memorandum Opinion and Order Approving Settlement and Certifying the Settlement Class (Document No. [296] entered in MDL 2:02-md-1477 on 9/2/2005), the court directs that all claims pending in MDL No. 1477 be DISMISSED with prejudice as to the Class Representatives and members of the Class, save those members of the Class who properly requested exclusion in compliance with this court's orders, without any assessment of costs, with such dismissal subject only to compliance by the parties with the terms and conditions of the Third Amended Settlement Agreement (see Attachments 1 and 2 to Document No. [184] in MDL 2:02-md-1477) as amended by the court's 9/2/2005 Memorandum Opinion and Order, and this Final Order and Judgment. The court FINDS that there is no just reason for delay and therefore directs entry of this Final Judgment as to the Class Representatives and members of the Class, as a final judgment pursuant to Rule 54, F.R.C.P. The court retains exclusive jurisdiction over this action and every aspect

of the interpretation, implementation and enforcement of the Settlement.
Signed by Judge Joseph R. Goodwin on 9/9/2005. (cc: Clerk, MDL Panel;
Pltfs' Liaison Counsel; Deft's Liaison Counsel; published at
www.wvsd.uscourts.gov) (jkk)